ANDREW S. NEELY

MARK S. GRAHAM ROBERT O. FOX

DAVID E. LAROSE GEOFFREY D. KRESSIN

J. DAVID GONCE JULIE A. BIRDWELL

PATRICK B. HORNE

JAMES R. PALMER

Patcot Agent

FRED THOMSEN

Of Counsel

AUGUST B. ROEHRIG, JR.

RICHARD W. BARNES, IR. MARK P. CROCKETT

MICHAEL J. BRADFORD

MICHAEL E. ROBINSON

DEC 16 2005

Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 1871 Knoxville, TN 37901-1871

Shipping Address: 1871 Riverview Tower 900 South Guy Street Knoxville, TN 37902

Oak Ridge Office: Technology 2020, Suite 4 1020 Commerce Park Drive Oak Ridge, TN 37830 (865) 546-4305

Phone (865) 546-4305 Facsimile (865) 523-4478 www.LNG-Patent.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY MATTERS PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, COPYRIGHTS AND RELATED LITICATION

December 16, 2005

TO: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No. 1.571.273.8300

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER PAGE,

11

## FACSIMILE POST CARD

LNG File No. 57500.US/C-4665.0 Date: 2005.12.16 Docket No. 2002-0381.01

Application No. 10/629,816 Filing Date: 07/29/2003

Applicant(s): Roger S. Cannon et al.

Title: RESONANT OSCILLATING SCANNING DEVICE WITH MULTIPLE LIGHT SOURCES Enclosures:

- Amendment Transmittal Letter (1 page)
- 2. Response to Claim Objection (9 pages) (Includes Certificate of Facsimile Transmission)

## COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Please acknowledge receipt of the above-identified documents.

LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, PC

ASN:PBH:cmw

cc: Docketing

F:\57500\\$7500.\us.temp.2005,12.16.postcard.frm

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the addressee listed above. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

DEC 16 2005

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER  Docket No. 2002-0381.01 (57500.US)									
Application No. Filing Dat 10/629,816 07/29						Group Art Unit 2872			
nventi RESO SOUR	on Title NANT OSCIL CES	LATING	SCANNING	B DEVI	CE WITH	MULTIP	LE LIGH	T	
	nsmitted herew small Entity sta No additional to The fee has b	ith is an a atus of thi fee is requ	mendment in s application iired. ulated as sh CLAIMS	n the abo has bee own bel <b>AS AME</b>	ove-identifi n establish OW: INDED				
			CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	FEI	Ę
TOTAL CLAIMS			*18	minus	** 28	0	x \$50	\$0	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS			* 2	minus	*** 3	0	x \$200		C
	DEPENDENT CLAIM	ADDED					\$360		
						III. III. AT	TOTAL	\$	
If applicant has small entity status u then divide total fee by 2, and ente							ENTITY TAL	\$	
"" If the	entry in column 1 is les highest number previo : highest number previously lest number previously	usly paid for it busly paid for i paid for (tota	i THIS SPACE IS less N THIS SPACE IS less I or Independenti I	than 20, ente than 3, enter s the highest	r "20", · "3", number found i				
[1	Please charge of this sheet is			2-2355 in	the amour	nt of \$	A duplica	te cop	ıγ
()	A check in the	amount o	f \$ to cover	the filing	fee is enc	losed.			
IXI	The Commission associated with No. 12-2355.  IXI Any addition	h this con	nmunication	or credit	any overp	ayment to			:
	[X] Any patent a	pplication p	processing feet	s under 37 		140	4		
					Andrew	S. Neely, Re	g. Mo. 28.979	9	

CERTIFICATE OF PACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below to Fax No.571.273.8300

Date: 2005.12.16

2002-0381.01

DEC 16 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial Number:

10/629,816

Applicant:

Roger S. Cannon et al.

Filed:

07/29/2003

Examiner:

Phan, James

Group Art Unit:

2872

Title:

Resonant Oscillating

Attorney Docket: 20

2002-0381.01

Scanning Device with Multiple Light Sources

Customer Number: 000408

## RESPONSE TO CLAIM OBJECTION OF OFFICE ACTION DATED 10/18/2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Via Fax at 1.571.273.8300

Sir:

In response to the office action dated October 18, 2005, Applicants amend Claim 5 to correct the lack of antecedent basis cited by the Examiner. Applicants also amend Claim 12 to correct an apparent lack of antecedent basis. Claims 5 and 12 are hereby amended.